REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. No claims have been amended, cancelled, or added. Therefore, claims 21-36 now are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,717,567.

Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection of double patenting.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacklin, U.S. Patent No. 6,396,472 ("Jacklin") in view of Throckmorton, et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,818,441 ("Throckmorton").

As an initial matter, <u>Throckmorton</u> does not disclose any of the limitations missing from <u>Jacklin</u>. For example, <u>Jacklin</u> discloses "[s]etup features . . . [to] allow an operator to program a desired sequence of display, or to have some done manually" (col. 7, line 3-5; col. 6, line 1-col. 7, line 67). <u>Jacklin's</u> programming of a desired sequence of display is limited to setting up the sequence or order in which the pictures are displayed using various buttons, such as a "setup button, option button, select button, next button, and previous button" (col. 7, line 3-12; col. 6, line 1-col. 7, line 67; col. 6, line 1-col. 7, line 67), and that is not the same as selective configuration including uniquely identifying

Docket No.: 42390P5097C Application No.: 10/785,282 intended recipients of the picture information using the unique identifier, as recited by claim 21. Further, <u>Jacklin</u> does not disclose or reasonably suggest a <u>wireless receiver</u> disposed inside the frame body to receive pictures, as recited by claim 21.

Throckmorton discloses "simulating two-way connectivity for one way data streams" (Abstract, Summary). Throckmorton further discloses a "system 34 [that] is a computer" having a "[r]eceiver 34 [that] could be a personal computer add-in adapter board, a television or radio broadcast receiver, a cable television converter box or it could be a satellite receiver for digital broadcast . . . a broadcast television receiver or tuner inside of a personal computer" (col. 6, lines 4-33). Throckmorton does not disclose or reasonably suggest a wireless receiver disposed inside the frame body to receive pictures, as recited by claim 21. Also, like Jacklin, Throckmorton does not disclose or reasonably suggest selective configuration including uniquely identifying intended recipients of the picture information using the unique identifier, as recited by claim 21.

Accordingly, for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 21 and its dependent claims.

Claims 28 and 32 contain limitations similar to those of claim 21. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 28 and 32 and their dependent claims.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Docket No.: 42390P5097C Application No.: 10/785,282

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: March 17, 2005

Aslam A. Jaffery Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Docket No.: 42390P5097C Application No.: 10/785,282